



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/610320	7/5/2000	Isabelle Afriat	193022 USO

EXAMINER	
R. BENNETT	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1615	7

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Rachel M. Bennett (3) Corwin Umbach
(2) Gollamudi Kishore (4)

Date of interview 8-8-01

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: Claims on record

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record, Boothroyd in particular

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The essence of the invention

and solid nature of the claimed composition was discussed by the attorney. The attorney indicated that product is a ^{water} ~~oil~~ in water emulsion; however aqueous phase is in larger amounts and therefore it should be oil in water emulsion. It would appear that Boothroyd teaches essentially similar product and examples show 64%.
After. Based on the teachings of the prior art it was suggested that data showing the patentable distinction between the instant product & prior art be submitted to determine the allowability of the claims.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Group 1600